arXiv:cond-mat/9809003v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 1 Sep 1998

Response Functions of Two-Coupled Chains of Tomonaga-Luttinger Liquids

Hideo Yoshioka[1,2] and Yoshikazu Suzumura[2]
[1] *Department of Applied Physics, Delft University of Technology, Lorentzweg 1, 2628 CJ Delft, The Netherlands*
[2] *Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

(Received)

Properties of fluctuations in two chains of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids coupled by the interchain hopping have been studied by calculating retarded response functions $\chi^R_\rho(q_x, q_y; \omega)$ for charge and $\chi^R_\sigma(q_x, q_y; \omega)$ for spin where q_x and $q_y(= 0 \text{ or } \pi)$ denote the longitudinal and transverse wave vector, respectively, and ω is the frequency. We have found the notable fact that the repulsive intrachain interaction results in the clear enhancement of $\mathrm{Im}\chi^R_\sigma(q_x, \pi; \omega)$ and the suppression of $\mathrm{Im}\chi^R_\rho(q_x, \pi; \omega)$ at low energies. This result indicates the importance of the dynamical effect by the spin fluctuation with $q_y = \pi$ and small ω, which has a possibility to give rise to the attractive interaction for the electron pairing.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf, 71.10.Pm, 74.20.Mn

keywords : Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids, two chains, response functions, superconductivity

I. INTRODUCTION

Two-chain system of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids coupled by the interchain hopping and/or the exchange interaction is a basic model which connects one-dimensional interacting electron systems with quasi-one- and two-dimensional systems [1]. When the intrachain interaction between conduction electrons is repulsive, the ground state exhibits the superconducting one [2–9] where the spin gap found in the half-filling case [2,10–12] still survives. The result is of interest for understanding the experimental fact that superconducting states have been observed in the doped ladder systems, $\mathrm{Sr}_{0.4}\mathrm{Ca}_{13.6}\mathrm{Cu}_{24}\mathrm{O}_{41.48}$, under the pressure [13]. Further, the phase transition of quasi-one-dimensional organic conductors, $(\mathrm{TMTSF})_2\mathrm{X}$, which takes place from spin density wave state to superconducting one with increasing pressure [14,15] could be related with crossover in the two chains [16].

It has been known that the superconductivity in the two-chain system results from the repulsive intrachain interaction of the backward scattering between electrons with anti-parallel spin [7,16] when the interchain hopping is relevant [17]. The study of the spin and charge fluctuations in terms of the response functions clarifies the dynamics of the two-coupled chains, and is useful for understanding the origin of superconductivity not only for two-chain systems but also for quasi-one-dimensional conductors. The response functions have been examined for two-coupled chain systems with only the forward scattering [18], but those in the presence of the backward scattering are not yet clear. In the present paper, by use of effective Hamiltonian which is based on the renormalization group analysis, we investigate retarded response functions for charge and spin, given by $\chi^R_\rho(q_x, q_y; \omega)$ and $\chi^R_\sigma(q_x, q_y; \omega)$ where q_x and $q_y(= 0 \text{ or } \pi)$ are the longitudinal and transverse wave vector, respectively, and ω is the frequency. We demonstrate that the spin fluctuation dominates the low-lying excitation with $q_y = \pi$ from the calculation of the ω-dependence of both $\mathrm{Im}\chi^R_\rho(q_x, \pi; \omega)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\chi^R_\sigma(q_x, \pi; \omega)$.

In section II, the Hamiltonian for the two-coupled chain is represented by the phase variable and is investigated in terms of the new Fermion fields. The results of the calculation of the retarded response functions, $\chi^R_\rho(q_x, q_y; \omega)$ and $\chi^R_\sigma(q_x, q_y; \omega)$, are shown in section III. Section IV is devoted to summary and discussion.

II. MODEL

We consider a model of two chains coupled by the interchain hopping t where each chain consists of conduction electrons with repulsive intrachain interactions of both the backward scattering and the forward scattering. We note that the single chain in the absence of t leads to Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids [19]. The present system can be expressed by the phase Hamiltonian [7,16], which is based on the bosonization method. In case that the energy is less than t, the present Hamiltonian is obtained by neglecting the non-linear terms including the misfit parameter $2q_0 = 4t/v_F$ which is originated from the separation of Fermi wave vector due to the interchain hopping [7,16,20]. Thus our Hamiltonian is given as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} = {} & \frac{v_\theta}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}x \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_\theta}(\partial_x\theta_+)^2 + \eta_\theta(\partial_x\theta_-)^2 \right\} + \frac{v_\phi}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}x \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_\phi}(\partial_x\phi_+)^2 + \eta_\phi(\partial_x\phi_-)^2 \right\} \\
& + \frac{v_F}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}x \left\{ (\partial_x\tilde{\theta}_+)^2 + (\partial_x\tilde{\theta}_-)^2 \right\} + \frac{v_F}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}x \left\{ (\partial_x\tilde{\phi}_+)^2 + (\partial_x\tilde{\phi}_-)^2 \right\} \\
& + \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2}\int \mathrm{d}x \Big[g_- \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_- + g_+ \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ \\
& \quad + g_1^* \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \left(\cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ + \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_- - \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \right) \Big] \ , \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha' \sim v_F/t$ and v_F is the Fermi velocity. The phase variables $\theta_\pm$ ($\phi_\pm$) and $\tilde{\theta}_\pm$ ($\tilde{\phi}_\pm$) express the fluctuations of the total charge (spin) and the transverse charge (spin) where $[\theta_+(x), \theta_-(x')] = [\tilde{\theta}_+(x), \tilde{\theta}_-(x')] = [\phi_+(x), \phi_-(x')] = [\tilde{\phi}_+(x), \tilde{\phi}_-(x')] = i\pi \mathrm{sgn}(x - x')$. The parameter g_1 (g_2) is a matrix element of the backward (forward) scattering, whose conventional definition is given by $g_i \to g_i/(2\pi v_F)$ [19]. The coefficients in Eq.(1) are given as $v_\theta = v_F\sqrt{(1+2g_2-g_1)(1-2g_2+g_1)}$, $v_\phi = v_F\sqrt{(1-g_1^*)(1+g_1^*)}$, $\eta_\theta = \sqrt{(1-2g_2+g_1)/(1+2g_2-g_1)}$, $\eta_\phi = \sqrt{(1+g_1^*)/(1-g_1^*)}$ and $g_\pm = g_2 - g_1/2 \pm g_1^*/2$ [7] where $g_1^* = g_1/\left\{1 + 2g_1 \ln(v_F/t\alpha)\right\}$ [19] and $v_F\alpha^{-1}$ is of the order of Fermi energy.

In Eq.(1), the excitation spectrum of the total charge fluctuation is gapless and has the same velocity as that in the absence of the interchain hopping. On the other hand, the interchain hopping plays important roles in the other degree of freedoms since the Hamiltonian expressing these fluctuation includes complex non-linear terms. For $g_2 - g_1/2 > 0$, the renormalization to the strong coupling has been obtained for the terms proportional to $\cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+$, $\cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+$ and $\cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_-$ [16]. Thus the quantities, $\cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_-$, $\cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+$ and $\cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+$ have finite expectation values, and the gapful excitations appear in the total spin, the transverse charge and the transverse spin degrees of freedoms. In case of the strong coupling, the behavior of fluctuations with low energy can be well described by use of the effective Hamiltonian in which the non-linear term with finite expectation value can be treated as the averaged one [7,21]. Following such a procedure, the parts describing the gapful excitation in Eq.(1) is rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}' = {} & \frac{v_\phi}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}x \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_\phi}(\partial_x\phi_+)^2 + \eta_\phi(\partial_x\phi_-)^2 \right\} \\
& + \frac{v_F}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}x \left\{ (\partial_x\tilde{\theta}_+)^2 + (\partial_x\tilde{\theta}_-)^2 \right\} + \frac{v_F}{4\pi}\int \mathrm{d}x \left\{ (\partial_x\tilde{\phi}_+)^2 + (\partial_x\tilde{\phi}_-)^2 \right\} \\
& + \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2} g_+ \int \mathrm{d}x \left[\left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \right\rangle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ + \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ \right\rangle \right] \\
& + \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2} g_1^* \int \mathrm{d}x \Big[\left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \right\rangle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ + \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ \right\rangle \\
& \qquad - \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \right\rangle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- - \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \right\rangle \Big] \ , \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ expresses the thermal average. In Eq.(2), the terms proportional to $\left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \right\rangle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_-$ and $\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \rangle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_-$ have been discarded because these terms gives rise to the finite expectation value of $\cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_-$, which is inconsistent with the results derived from the renormalization group analysis. The quantities, $\left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ \right\rangle$ and $\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \rangle$ are related to the gap $\tilde{\Delta}_s$, $\tilde{\Delta}_c$ and Δ_s as

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_s = \frac{v_F}{\alpha'} \left\{ g_+ \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \right\rangle + g_1^* \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \right\rangle \right\} \ , \qquad (3)
$$

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_c = \frac{v_F}{\alpha'} \left\{ g_+ \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ \right\rangle - g_1^* \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\phi_+ \right\rangle \right\} \ , \qquad (4)
$$

$$
\Delta_s = \frac{v_F}{\alpha'} g_1^* \left\{ \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\phi}_+ \right\rangle - \left\langle \cos\sqrt{2}\tilde{\theta}_- \right\rangle \right\} \ , \qquad (5)
$$

and are determined self-consistently similar to the previous case $g_1 = 0$ [18].

Now we examine Eq.(2) by introducing the Fermionic representation [22] which has been applied for $\eta_\phi = 1$. Although the case $\eta_\phi = 1$ is obtained as a special case in the calculation of the renormalization equation [16], it is considered that such a setting does not change qualitatively the behavior of the solutions. The effect of $\eta_\phi \neq 1$ is discussed in the last section. Thus Eq.(2) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}' &= v_F\int \mathrm{d}x\left\{\psi_1^\dagger(-\mathrm{i}\partial_x\psi_1)-\psi_2^\dagger(-\mathrm{i}\partial_x\psi_2)\right\}+\tilde{\Delta}_s\int\mathrm{d}x\left\{\psi_2^\dagger\psi_1+\psi_1^\dagger\psi_2\right\}\\ &+v_F\int \mathrm{d}x\left\{\psi_3^\dagger(-\mathrm{i}\partial_x\psi_3)-\psi_4^\dagger(-\mathrm{i}\partial_x\psi_4)\right\}+\tilde{\Delta}_c\int\mathrm{d}x\left\{\mathrm{i}\psi_3\psi_4-\mathrm{i}\psi_4^\dagger\psi_3^\dagger\right\}\\ &+v_\phi\int \mathrm{d}x\left\{\psi_5^\dagger(-\mathrm{i}\partial_x\psi_5)-\psi_6^\dagger(-\mathrm{i}\partial_x\psi_6)\right\}+\Delta_s\int\mathrm{d}x\left\{\psi_6^\dagger\psi_5+\psi_5^\dagger\psi_6\right\}\quad , \qquad (6)\end{aligned}$$

where ψ_1 (ψ_2), ψ_3 (ψ_4) and ψ_5 (ψ_6) are the field operators of right going (left going) Fermions corresponding to the transverse spin, the transverse charge and the total spin degree of freedoms, respectively. In terms of $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$, $\tilde{\theta}_\pm$ and $\phi_\pm$, field operators, ψ_j are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{1+n} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}((-1)^n\tilde{\phi}_++\tilde{\phi}_-)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(-1)^n\frac{\pi}{2}(\hat{N}_1+\hat{N}_2)}\quad ,\\ \psi_{3+n} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}((-1)^n\tilde{\theta}_++\tilde{\theta}_-)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(-1)^n\frac{\pi}{2}(\hat{N}_3+\hat{N}_4)+\mathrm{i}\pi(\hat{N}_1+\hat{N}_2)}\quad ,\\ \psi_{5+n} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha'}}\mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{2}}((-1)^n\phi_++\phi_-)}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(-1)^n\frac{\pi}{2}(\hat{N}_5+\hat{N}_6)+\mathrm{i}\pi(\hat{N}_1+\hat{N}_2+\hat{N}_3+\hat{N}_4)}\quad , \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

where $n=0$ and 1, and $\hat{N}_i$ $(i=1\sim 6)$ is a number operator of the i-th Fermion. The Hilbert space is taken so that the numbers, N_1+N_2, N_3+N_4 and N_5+N_6, are even integers (N_i $(i=1\sim 6)$: eigenvalue of $\hat{N}_i$) in deriving Eq.(6). Note that phase variables, $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$, $\tilde{\theta}_\pm$ and $\phi_\pm$ can be also expressed as,

$$\tilde{\phi}_\pm(x) = -\sum_{q\neq 0}\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi\mathrm{i}}{qL}\mathrm{e}^{(-\alpha'|q|/2+\mathrm{i}qx)}\left\{D_1(-q)\pm D_2(-q)\right\}\quad , \qquad (8)$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_\pm(x) = -\sum_{q\neq 0}\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi\mathrm{i}}{qL}\mathrm{e}^{(-\alpha'|q|/2+\mathrm{i}qx)}\left\{D_3(-q)\pm D_4(-q)\right\}\quad , \qquad (9)$$

$$\phi_\pm(x) = -\sum_{q\neq 0}\frac{\sqrt{2}\pi\mathrm{i}}{qL}\mathrm{e}^{(-\alpha'|q|/2+\mathrm{i}qx)}\left\{D_5(-q)\pm D_6(-q)\right\}\quad , \qquad (10)$$

where $D_j(-q)=\int\psi_j^\dagger\psi_j\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx}\mathrm{d}x$.

In Eq.(6), the excitation spectra of the total spin, the transverse charge and the transverse spin degrees of freedom are respectively calculated as $E_{k,s}=\sqrt{\xi_{k,s}^2+\Delta_s^2}$, $\tilde{E}_{k,c}=\sqrt{\xi_k^2+\tilde{\Delta}_c^2}$ and $\tilde{E}_{k,s}=\sqrt{\xi_k^2+\tilde{\Delta}_s^2}$, where $\xi_{k,s}=v_\phi k$ and $\xi_k=v_Fk$. By use of Eq.(6), the self-consistent equations Eqs.(3) - (5) for $\tilde{\Delta}_s$, $\tilde{\Delta}_c$ and Δ_s are rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\tilde{\Delta}_s}{\pi v_F} &= g_+\left(\mathrm{i}\langle\psi_3\psi_4\rangle-\mathrm{i}\langle\psi_4^\dagger\psi_3^\dagger\rangle\right)+g_1^*\left(\langle\psi_6^\dagger\psi_5\rangle+\langle\psi_5^\dagger\psi_6\rangle\right)\\ &= -g_+\frac{\tilde{\Delta}_c}{L}\sum_k\frac{1}{\tilde{E}_{k,c}}-g_1^*\frac{\Delta_s}{L}\sum_k\frac{1}{E_{k,s}}\quad , \qquad (11)\\ \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_c}{\pi v_F} &= g_+\left(\langle\psi_2^\dagger\psi_1\rangle+\langle\psi_1^\dagger\psi_2\rangle\right)-g_1^*\left(\langle\psi_6^\dagger\psi_5\rangle+\langle\psi_5^\dagger\psi_6\rangle\right)\\ &= -g_+\frac{\tilde{\Delta}_s}{L}\sum_k\frac{1}{\tilde{E}_{k,s}}+g_1^*\frac{\Delta_s}{L}\sum_k\frac{1}{E_{k,s}}\quad , \qquad (12)\\ \frac{\Delta_s}{\pi v_F} &= g_1^*\left(\langle\psi_2^\dagger\psi_1\rangle+\langle\psi_1^\dagger\psi_2\rangle-\mathrm{i}\langle\psi_3\psi_4\rangle+\mathrm{i}\langle\psi_4^\dagger\psi_3^\dagger\rangle\right)\\ &= g_1^*\left\{-\frac{\tilde{\Delta}_s}{L}\sum_k\frac{1}{\tilde{E}_{k,s}}+\frac{\tilde{\Delta}_c}{L}\sum_k\frac{1}{\tilde{E}_{k,c}}\right\}\quad . \qquad (13)\end{aligned}$$

By noting that the gap equations lead to $\tilde{\Delta}_s=-\tilde{\Delta}_c\equiv\tilde{\Delta}$ due to $g_+>0$, we use $\tilde{E}_k=\sqrt{\xi_k^2+\tilde{\Delta}^2}=\tilde{E}_{k,s}=\tilde{E}_{k,c}$ in the following. In Fig.1, the numerical results of the gap equations are shown with a choice of $g_1=0.45$ and $t\alpha/v_F=0.1$

FIG. 1. Solutions of the gap equations of Eqs.(11)$-$(13) as a function of $g_+ - g_1^*$, for $g_1 = 0.45$ and $t\alpha/v_F = 0.1$. The solid line and the dotted one express $\tilde{\Delta}_s/\xi_c = -\tilde{\Delta}_c/\xi_c$ and $-\Delta_s/\xi_c$, respectively, where ξ_c is the cut-off energy of the order of t.

III. RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

We calculate the response functions defined by ($\nu = \rho$ and σ)

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\nu^R(q_x, q_y;\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau \int \mathrm{d}(x-x')\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_n\tau}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}q_x(x-x')} \\ \times \left.\left\langle T_\tau\left\{\nu(x,1;\tau)+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}q_y}\nu(x,2;\tau)\right\}\left\{\nu(x',1;0)+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}q_y}\nu(x',2;0)\right\}\right\rangle\right|_{\mathrm{i}\omega_n\to\omega+\mathrm{i}\delta} \quad , \qquad (14)\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(x,i;\tau)$ and $\sigma(x,i;\tau)$ denote operators of the charge and spin densities at the i(=1,2)-th chain, respectively. In Eq.(14), the longitudinal wave vector q_x is much smaller than $2k_F$ (k_F is the Fermi wave vector) and $q_y(=0,\pi)$ is the transverse wave vector. Therefore the operators, $\nu(1) \pm \nu(2)$ ($\equiv \nu(x,1;\tau) \pm \nu(x,2;\tau)$), in Eq.(14) are given as $\rho(1)+\rho(2) = \partial_x\theta_+/\pi$, $\sigma(1)+\sigma(2) = \partial_x\phi_+/\pi$, $\rho(1)-\rho(2) = \{1/(2\pi\alpha')\}\sum_{p,\sigma,\mu} p\mu \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}p\mu q_0 x}\exp\{-\mathrm{i}p\mu(\tilde{\theta}_+ + p\tilde{\theta}_-)/\sqrt{2}\}\exp\{-\mathrm{i}p\mu\sigma(\tilde{\phi}_+ + p\tilde{\phi}_-)/\sqrt{2}\}$ and $\sigma(1)-\sigma(2) = \{1/(2\pi\alpha')\}\sum_{p,\sigma,\mu} p\mu\sigma \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}p\mu q_0 x}\exp\{-\mathrm{i}p\mu(\tilde{\theta}_+ + p\tilde{\theta}_-)/\sqrt{2}\}\exp\{-\mathrm{i}p\mu\sigma(\tilde{\phi}_+ + p\tilde{\phi}_-)/\sqrt{2}\}$ where the summation denotes $p = \pm$, $\sigma = \pm$ and $\mu = \pm$ [18]. The charge response functions $\chi_\rho^R(q_x, 0;\omega)$ with $q_y = 0$, which is evaluated straightforwardly from the total charge density given by $\partial_x\theta_+/\pi$, is the same as that in the absence of the interchain hopping. On the other hand, $\chi_\sigma^R(q_x,0;\omega)$, $\chi_\rho^R(q_x,\pi;\omega)$ and $\chi_\sigma^R(q_x,\pi;\omega)$ are calculated from the Fermionic representation of Eq.(7). These response functions at absolute zero temperature are given as

$$\chi_\rho^R(q_x,0;\omega) = \frac{\eta_\theta q_x}{\pi}\left\{\frac{1}{v_\theta q_x + \omega + \mathrm{i}\delta} + \frac{1}{v_\theta q_x - \omega - \mathrm{i}\delta}\right\} \quad , \qquad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\sigma^R(q_x,0;\omega) = \frac{1}{L}\sum_k \left(\frac{1}{E_{k,s}+E_{k+q_x,s}+\omega+\mathrm{i}\delta} + \frac{1}{E_{k,s}+E_{k+q_x,s}-\omega-\mathrm{i}\delta}\right) \\ \times\left(1 - \frac{\xi_{k,s}\xi_{k+q_x,s}}{E_{k,s}E_{k+q_x,s}} - \frac{\Delta_s^2}{E_{k,s}E_{k+q_x,s}}\right) \quad , \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

$$\chi_{\rho(\sigma)}^R(q_x,\pi;\omega) = \frac{1}{2L}\sum_k\sum_{\nu=\pm}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{E}_k+\tilde{E}_{k'}-\omega-\mathrm{i}\delta} + \frac{1}{\tilde{E}_k+\tilde{E}_{k'}+\omega+\mathrm{i}\delta}\right)$$

FIG. 2. The q_x/q_0-dependence of the real part of the response functions, $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, 0; 0)$, $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, 0; 0)$, $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, \pi; 0)$ and $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, \pi; 0)$ which are normalized by $2/\pi v_F$. Here the parameters are chosen as $|\tilde{\Delta}|/2t = 0.124$ and $|\tilde{\Delta}_s|/2t = 0.076$ which corresponds to $g_1 = 0.45$, $g_2 = 0.5$ and $t\alpha/v_F = 0.1$.

For $\omega = 0$, the real parts of Eqs.(15)-(17) can be calculated analytically. The quantities, $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, 0; 0)$ and $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, \pi; 0)$, are given by $2\eta_\theta/\pi v_\theta$ and $2/\pi v_F$, respectively, while $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, 0; 0)$ and $\mathrm{Re}\chi_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x, \pi; 0)$ are calculated as follows,

$$\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, 0; 0) = \frac{2}{\pi v_\phi} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\Delta_s^2}{v_\phi q_x \sqrt{(v_\phi q_x)^2 + 4\Delta_s^2}} \ln \frac{|v_\phi q_x + \sqrt{(v_\phi q_x)^2 + 4\Delta_s^2}|}{|v_\phi q_x - \sqrt{(v_\phi q_x)^2 + 4\Delta_s^2}|} \right\} \quad , \tag{18}$$

$$\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, \pi; 0) = \frac{2}{\pi v_F} \sum_{\nu=\pm} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\tilde{\Delta}^2}{\xi_\nu \sqrt{\xi_\nu^2 + 4\tilde{\Delta}^2}} \ln \frac{|\xi_\nu + \sqrt{\xi_\nu^2 + 4\tilde{\Delta}^2}|}{|\xi_\nu - \sqrt{\xi_\nu^2 + 4\tilde{\Delta}^2}|} \right\}_{\xi_\nu = v_F(\nu q_x + q_0)} \quad . \tag{19}$$

In Fig.2, we show the real parts of the response functions which are normalized by $2/\pi v_F$. For $q_y = 0$, $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, 0; 0)$ as a function of q_x remains constant. The quantity $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, 0; 0)$ is reduced around $q_x = 0$ owing to the spin gap where the limiting behavior of $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, 0; 0)$ for $|q_x| \ll |\Delta_s|/v_\phi$ is given by $2/(\pi v_\phi) \times v_\phi^2 q_x^2/(6\Delta_s^2)$. For $q_y = \pi$, the gaps of the transverse fluctuations, $\tilde{\Delta}_s$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_c$, lead to the suppression of $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, \pi; 0)$ around $q_x = \pm q_0$. The minimum of $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, \pi; 0)$ near $q_x = \pm q_0$ comes from the separation of Fermi wave vector which is caused by the interchain hopping. The quantity, $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\rho(q_x, \pi; 0)$, for $|q_x \pm q_0| \ll |\tilde{\Delta}|/v_F$ is expressed as $2/(\pi v_F) \times \{1/2 - (\tilde{\Delta}/4t)^2 \ln(4t/\tilde{\Delta})^2 + v_F^2(q_x \pm q_0)^2/12\tilde{\Delta}^2\}$. The quantity $\mathrm{Re}\chi_\sigma(q_x, \pi; 0)$ as a function of q_x becomes flat due to the fact that the effect of $\tilde{\Delta}_c$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_s$ compensate each other in Eq.(17).

Now we examine the ω-dependence of the imaginary parts of Eq.(17) which represents the spectral weight for charge and spin fluctuations with $q_y = \pi$. In Fig.3, the quantities $\mathrm{Im}\chi_\rho^R(q_x, \pi; \omega)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\chi_\sigma^R(q_x, \pi; \omega)$, which are normalized by $2/\pi v_F$, are shown with the fixed $q_x/q_0 = 1.25$ (Fig.3(a)) and 1.0 (Fig.3(b)). These quantities diverge at two locations given by $\omega_{L(H)}/(2t) = \sqrt{\{1 - (+)q_x/q_0\}^2 + 4\{\tilde{\Delta}/(2t)\}^2}$, which are ascribed to the separation of the Fermi wave vector by the interchain hopping. These singularities are also found in the absence of the interaction, where the corresponding response function becomes $\chi_{\rho(\sigma)}^R(q_x, \pi; \omega) \to \chi^R(q_x, \pi; \omega)$ with

$$\chi^R(q_x, \pi; \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\nu=\pm} \left\{ \frac{\nu q_x + q_0}{v_F(\nu q_x + q_0) - \omega - \mathrm{i}\delta} + \frac{\nu q_x + q_0}{v_F(\nu q_x + q_0) + \omega + \mathrm{i}\delta} \right\} \quad . \tag{20}$$

FIG. 4. The total weights, $S^L_{\rho(\sigma)}$ and $S^H_{\rho(\sigma)}$ as a function of q_x/q_0. The dotted lines, S^L and S^H are those in the absence of the interaction. The parameters are the same as those in Fig.2.

In order to examine such a notable fact, we evaluate the weights by dividing Eq.(17) into two parts, i.e., $\mathrm{Im}\chi^R_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega) = \mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,L}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega) + \mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,H}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega)$, where $\mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,L}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega)$ ($\mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,H}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega)$) comes from the part with $\nu = -(+)$, and leads to the singularity near $\omega = \omega_L$ (ω_H). In Fig.4, we show the total weight of $\mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,L}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,H}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega)$ which are defined by

$$S^L_{\rho(\sigma)} = \frac{\pi v_F}{4t}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,L}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega) \ , \tag{21}$$

$$S^H_{\rho(\sigma)} = \frac{\pi v_F}{4t}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{Im}\chi^{R,H}_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x,\pi;\omega) \ . \tag{22}$$

FIG. 5. The pairing in the momentum space (k_x, k_y) is shown schematically by the enclosed-dotted curve. The black (white) circles show the Fermi points of the upper (lower) band. The Fermi points with $k_y = -\pi$ are equivalent to those with $k_y = \pi$. The sign $+$ and $-$ expresses the fact that the order parameters of the superconducting state is out of phase.

From the imaginary part of the response functions with $q_y = \pi$, we concluded that among the fluctuations with $q_y = \pi$, the spin degree of freedom is dominant compared to the charge one. The excitation of spin degree of freedom with $q_y = \pi$ and low energy seems to be closely related to superconductivity of the two chains. In the present superconducting state, the pair of the electrons is formed between two chains with *in phase*. This corresponds to the intraband pairing with *out of phase* in the momentum space (k_x, k_y) [3,4,7,16,18] where the Fermi points are given by $(\pm(k_F + q_0/2), 0)$ for the lower band and $(\pm(k_F - q_0/2), 0)$ for the upper band, respectively. The superconducting state is explained in Fig.5 where the pairing of the electrons around the Fermi points are expressed by the enclosed-dashed curves. By noting that $\chi_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x, \pi; \mathrm{i}\omega_n) = (-1/\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{Im}\chi^R_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x, \pi; \omega)/(\mathrm{i}\omega_n - \omega)$, it is considered that the fluctuations connecting these two kinds of pairs, $\chi_{\rho(\sigma)}(q_x, \pi; \mathrm{i}\omega_n)$ with $q_x \simeq \pm q_0$ act as the attractive force [23]. Since the spin degree of freedom in such fluctuation is dominant compared to the charge fluctuation, it is possible that the spin fluctuation with $q_y = \pi$ results in the superconductivity of the two chains. Also in the organic conductors, $(\mathrm{TMTSF})_2\mathrm{X}$, it is argued that the pair is formed between the chains from the theoretical analysis [24] of the NMR relaxation rate of $(\mathrm{TMTSF})_2\mathrm{ClO}_4$ [25]. Therefore the origin of the superconductivity of the organic conductor seems to be the spin fluctuation with $q_y = \pi$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on the priority area, Novel Electronic States in Molecular Conductors, from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

[1] For review, E. Dagotto and T. M. Rice, Science **271**, 618 (1996).

[2] E. Dagotto, J. Riera and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 5744 (1992).

[3] M. Fabrizio, A. Parola and E. Tosatti, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 3159 (1992).

[4] M. Fabrizio, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 15838 (1993).

[5] K. Yamaji and Y. Shimoi, Physica C **222**, 349 (1994); T. Yanagisawa, Y. Shimoi and K. Yamaji, Phys. Rev. B **52**, R3861 (1995).

[6] N. Nagaosa, Solid State Commun. **94**, 495 (1995); N. Nagaosa and M. Oshikawa J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 2241 (1996).

[7] H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B **53**, R2959 (1996), 1996 cond-mat preprint 9605075.

[8] L. Balents and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 12133 (1996).

[9] D. G. Shelton and A. M. Tsvelik: Phys. Rev. B **53**, 14036 (1996).

[10] D. C. Johnston, J. W. Johnston, D. P. Goshorn and A. P. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 219 (1987).

[11] Z. Hiroi, M. Azuma, M. Takano and Y. Bando, J. Solid State Chem. **95**, 230 (1991).

[12] D. Sénéchal Phys. Rev. B **52**, 15319 (1995).

[13] M. Uehara, T. Nagata, J. Akimitsu, H. Takahashi, N. Môri and K. Kinoshita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 2764 (1996).

[14] D. Jérome, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. **79**, 155 (1982).

[15] For reviews, D. Jérome and H. J. Schulz, Adv. Phys. **31**, 299 (1982); T. Ishiguro and K. Yamaji, *Organic Superconductors*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990), Vol.88.

[16] H. Yoshioka and Y. Suzumura, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 9328 (1996).

[17] The condition is given in ref. [6] and $\max(t_\parallel, t)\delta \gg J_\perp$ where $t_\parallel$ (t), δ and $J_\perp$ are the intrachain (interchain) hopping energy, the hole-concentration and the interchain exchange energy, respectively.

[18] H. Yoshioka and Y. Suzumura, J. Low Temp. Phys. **109**, 49 (1997).

[19] J. Sólyom, Adv. Phys. **28**, 201 (1979).

[20] Correspondences between the present notation of the phase variables and that by Schulz [7] are as follows, $\theta_+ = \sqrt{2}\phi_{\rho+}$, $\theta_- = -\sqrt{2}\theta_{\rho+}$, $\phi_+ = \sqrt{2}\phi_{\sigma+}$, $\phi_- = -\sqrt{2}\theta_{\sigma+}$, $\tilde{\theta}_+ = \sqrt{2}\phi_{\rho-}$, $\tilde{\theta}_- = -\sqrt{2}\theta_{\rho-}$, $\tilde{\phi}_+ = \sqrt{2}\phi_{\sigma-}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_- = -\sqrt{2}\theta_{\sigma-}$.

[21] A. M. Finkelstein and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 10461 (1993).

[22] A. Luther and V. J. Emery Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 589 (1974).

[23] P. Monthoux and D. Pines Phys. Rev. B **47**, 6069 (1993).

[24] Y. Hasegawa and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56**, 877 (1987).

[25] M. Takigawa, H. Yasuoka and G. Saito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56**, 873 (1987).